

# INTENTION AWARENESS

Telepathy? A hypothesis we can test.

One operational test of intended action; no results collected.

## THE PREDICTION

**Can a receiver identify a sender's intended action when ordinary communication is blocked?**

Expected pattern: above 25% chance AND better than no-sending trials.

### 1 Pre-register the rules

Predefine trial count (power analysis), scoring, exclusions and the analysis plan.  
Set the stopping rule before collecting data. Keep every planned trial in the record.

### 2 Randomly assign one of four intended token moves

↑ UP

↓ DOWN

← LEFT

→ RIGHT

Independent random selection on every trial; each target has probability 1/4.

### 3 Randomly interleave two trial conditions

#### SENDER TRIAL

Only the sender sees the target and silently prepares the assigned token move.

No action until the response is locked.

#### NO-SENDING CONTROL

The target is sealed and shown to nobody. The sender receives a neutral prompt.

Same timing and receiver experience.



### 4 Blind, record and lock

Receiver and receiver-facing staff know neither target nor trial condition.  
Separate locations; no shared audio, video or chat; fixed trial timing.  
Lock one choice + confidence per trial. Reveal results only after the fixed run.

### 5 Analyse every trial. Replicate independently.

Compare sender accuracy with both 25% chance and the control condition.  
Report uncertainty, preplanned tests, null results and any protocol deviations.

## WHAT WOULD A RESULT MEAN?

A robust positive result warrants further testing. It would not by itself establish telepathy, shared consciousness or extra dimensions.

This tests matching an assigned intention target, not direct access to subjective awareness.