AkashicJOURNAL
r/microINSIGHTS MONTHLY

EDITORIAL PREVIEW

AKASHICTEST001 / METHODS DEMONSTRATION

When a Research Reply Becomes a Referral

AkashicNET · 16 November 2026

ABSTRACT

A public reply to an AKN24 research post paired health assurances with product and shipping language. AkashicMESSAGE reviewed the wording, AkashicINQUIRY traced the claims and AkashicASSESS compared response options. The review rated the evidential concern as high while finding little evidence of condescension. It recommended quiet moderation to reduce the chance of amplifying the referral. This review examined one reply. The account’s affiliation, intent and automation status remain unverified. Independent reviewer scores are pending.

EVIDENCE TRAIL

Wording and Tone

Audit of the reply’s claims, language and stakes.

Research Sources

Study references and the scope of their findings.

Independent Review Plan

Blinded comparison of the original and revised workflows.

Read the Full Case

ILLUSTRATIVE MODEL

When Referrals Are Rare

Changing the share of referrals changes how many flags are correct, even when the detection and false-flag rates stay fixed.

ASSUMPTIONS PER 1,000 REPLIES

80% of referrals are flagged. 5% of other replies are flagged. The rates are hypothetical inputs for a teaching model.

MIX OF FLAGGED REPLIES



Bars show the composition of flags in each scenario. The total flagged count is 125 at 10% referral prevalence and 65 at 2%.

ONLINE COMPANION

Explore the rotatable 3D view and change the referral prevalence on the magazine rack. The browser model updates the full count table, including unflagged replies.

Open the Interactive Preview